

<b>Family Name</b>	Wood
<b>Given Name</b>	Julie
<b>Person ID</b>	1286752
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Wood
<b>Given Name</b>	Julie
<b>Person ID</b>	1286752
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>I wish to register my strong objection to the proposals to redesignate current greenbelt for development. In particular I object to the proposals to build approximately 1100 homes on green belt land north of Mosley Common.</p> <p>I believe that the green belt should continue to be protected for the health and wellbeing (and enjoyment) of current and future citizens. Recent events have demonstrated that local open spaces are necessary to the wellbeing of the public. People should be able to enjoy access to green spaces without having to travel out of their local areas. Any development of greenbelt in this area will result in the decimation of wildlife and increased traffic and pollution on the local roads (which already suffer from high levels of congestion). Increased pollution will also have an ongoing effect on the health and well-being of residents in the vicinity of this proposed development.</p> <p>The five purposes of Green Belt set out in National Policy are listed below to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas; to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another; to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment; to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and to assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land."</p> <p>If these proposals are agreed then the majority of the above purposes will be completely ignored.</p> <p>Current established areas will merge into one large urban area - practically joining the areas of Walkden, Little Hulton and Mosley Common.</p> <p>The current open countryside will be greatly reduced and although some green belt may remain, how long will it be before that land is swallowed up</p>

by development too? This proposal could be the first step towards the complete destruction of our local rural area.

Mort Lane, Sale Lane and Mosley Common Road in particular already struggle to cope with the amount of traffic using them and with the current development of hundreds of homes on the sites off Mosley Common Road, along with the Parr Bridge development, these problems will greatly increase if this further development is allowed.

The proposed access road through Wellington Drive is of particular concern since it is a quiet residential street not designed to cope with large amounts of traffic. Given that the proposal is for 1100 homes and most homes have 2 cars these days this could mean an additional 2000 cars per day using this road to the detriment of current residents. Also turning from Bankhouse Close onto Wellington Drive will become difficult - vision is already restricted in this area by current parking.

I have also noted that there is a proposal to add a bus stop to the existing bus lane. Given that the original intention of the busway was allegedly to reduce journey times from Leigh to Manchester then surely the addition of extra stops is in breach of the original plans!

**Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.**

I believe our representatives have a moral obligation to do all they can to resist this attack on the green belt for the reasons outlined above. I therefore request that this proposal is shelved and that brown field sites are utilised for development rather than allowing the development and permanent reduction of our countryside.